

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/938,667	08/27/2001		Jens Petersen	60117.000006	2505
7	590	10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
Stanislaus Aksman				FUBARA, BLESSING M	
Hunton & Williams Suite 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 K Street,				1615	11
Washington, I	OC 20006			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/938,667	PETERSEN	
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Blessing M. Fubara	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	<del>-</del>		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:		·	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			1,
10. Other:			
TO.L. Stilot.			
	·		

Continuation She t (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant presented no comparabe data to show that the hydrogel composition of the applicant's have unusual results over the hydrogel composition of the prior art.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PAPENT) EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600